arXiv:1403.4489v1 [math.CO] 18 Mar 2014

Orthogonal polarity graphs and Sidon sets

Michael Tait* Craig Timmons†

March 19, 2014

Abstract

Determining the maximum number of edges in an n-vertex C_4-free graph is a well-studied problem that dates back to a paper of Erdős from 1938. One of the most important families of C_4-free graphs are the Erdős-Rényi orthogonal polarity graphs. We show that the Cayley sum graph constructed using a Bose-Chowla Sidon set is isomorphic to a large induced subgraph of the Erdős-Rényi orthogonal polarity graph. Using this isomorphism we prove that the Petersen graph is a subgraph of every sufficiently large Erdős-Rényi orthogonal polarity graph.

1 Introduction

Let $\mathcal{F}$ be a family of graphs. A graph G is *$\mathcal{F}$-free* if G does not contain a subgraph that is isomorphic to a graph in $\mathcal{F}$. The *Turán number* of $\mathcal{F}$, denoted $\mathrm{ex}(n, \mathcal{F})$, is the maximum number of edges in an n-vertex graph that is $\mathcal{F}$-free. When $\mathcal{F} = \{F\}$, we write $\mathrm{ex}(n, F)$ instead of $\mathrm{ex}(n, \{F\})$. Determining Turán numbers for different families of graphs is one of the most studied problems in extremal graph theory. A case of particular interest is when $\mathcal{F} = \{C_4\}$. A counting argument of Kővári, Sós, and Turán [15] (see also Reiman [20]) gives

$$\mathrm{ex}(n, C_4) \leq \frac{1}{2}n^{3/2} + \frac{1}{2}n. \tag{1}$$

In 1966, Brown [3], Erdős, Rényi, and Sós [9] independently constructed graphs that show (1) is asymptotically best possible. These graphs are often called Erdős-Rényi polarity graphs and are constructed using an orthogonal polarity of a projective plane. The construction is as follows. Let q be a prime power. The *Erdős-Rényi graph ER_q* is the graph whose vertices are the points of $PG(2, q)$. Two distinct vertices (x_0, x_1, x_2) and (y_0, y_1, y_2) are adjacent if $x_0y_0 + x_1y_1 + x_2y_2 = 0$. It is well known that these graphs have q^2+q+1 vertices, have $\frac{1}{2}q(q+1)^2$ edges, and are C_4-free. Thus for any prime power q,

$$\mathrm{ex}(q^2 + q + 1, C_4) \geq \frac{1}{2}q(q+1)^2. \tag{2}$$

Füredi [11, 13] proved that (2) is best possible and moreover, any C_4-free graph with q^2+q+1 vertices and $\frac{1}{2}q(q+1)^2$ edges must be isomorphic to ER_q, provided $q \geq 15$ and

*Department of Mathematics, University of California, San Diego, CA, USA, mtait@math.ucsd.edu

†Department of Mathematics, University of California, San Diego, CA, USA, ctimmons@math.ucsd.edu

[1]Both authors were supported by NSF Grant DMS-1101489 through Jacques Verstraëte.

q is a prime power. The graphs ER_q play a central role in the Turán problem for C_4. A difficult and related unresolved conjecture of McCuaig is that every C_4-free n-vertex graph with $\mathrm{ex}(n, C_4)$ edges is a subgraph of some ER_q (see [12]).

Now we introduce another family of C_4-free graphs that have many edges. Let q be a prime power and let θ be a generator of the multiplicative group $\mathbb{F}_{q^2}^*$, the nonzero elements of the finite field $\mathbb{F}_{q^2}$. The set

$$A(q, \theta) := \{a \in \mathbb{Z}_{q^2-1} : \theta^a - \theta \in \mathbb{F}_q\}$$

is a *Bose-Chowla Sidon set*. These sets, constructed in [2], have q elements and are Sidon sets in $\mathbb{Z}_{q^2-1}$. That is, they have the property that the sums $a + b (\mathrm{mod}\ q^2 - 1)$ with $a, b \in A(q, \theta)$ are all distinct, up to an ordering of the terms.

Definition 1.1 *Let q be a prime power and θ be a generator of $\mathbb{F}_{q^2}^*$. The graph $G_{q,\theta}$ is the graph with vertex set $\mathbb{Z}_{q^2-1}$. Two distinct vertices x and y are adjacent if and only if $x + y \in A(q, \theta)$.*

A vertex in $G_{q,\theta}$ has degree q or $q-1$ and a vertex of degree $q-1$ is called an *absolute point*. In [21] the graphs $G_{q,\theta}$ for odd q were used to improve a lower bound of Abreu et al. [1] on the Turán number $\mathrm{ex}(q^2 - q - 2, C_4)$, q an odd prime power. The eigenvalues of $G_{q,\theta}$ were studied in [4] and in a more general setting in [22]. In this paper we continue the study of the graphs $G_{q,\theta}$. Our main result shows that $G_{q,\theta}$ is an induced subgraph of the Erdős-Rényi graph ER_q.

Theorem 1.2 *Let $q \geq 15$ be a prime power and θ be a generator of $\mathbb{F}_{q^2}^*$. The graph $G_{q,\theta}$ is isomorphic to an induced subgraph of the Erdős-Rényi graph ER_q.*

In the course of proving Theorem 1.2 we explicitly describe how one can obtain ER_q from $G_{q,\theta}$. This gives a new method in which one can construct ER_q. The hypothesis that $q \geq 15$ is needed in order to use the aforementioned result of Füredi [13] which characterizes C_4-free graphs with $q^2 + q + 1$ vertices, and $\frac{1}{2}q(q+1)^2$ edges where q is a prime power.

The vertices at distance two from the absolute points in ER_5 induce a graph that is isomorphic to the Petersen graph [8]. As an application of Theorem 1.2, we prove that the Petersen graph is contained in every ER_q provided $q \geq 15$.

Theorem 1.3 *For any prime power $q \geq 15$, the Petersen graph is a subgraph of ER_q.*

In order to prove Theorem 1.2 we need an exact formula for the number of edges in the graph $G_{q,\theta}$. In the case that q is odd, this depends on the number of even and odd elements in $A(q, \theta)$. Using some known results on the quadratic character of $\mathbb{F}_q$, we determine this number exactly.

Theorem 1.4 *Let q be an odd prime power and let θ be a generator of $\mathbb{F}_{q^2}^*$. The number of elements in the set*

$$A(q, \theta) = \{a \in \mathbb{Z}_{q^2-1} : \theta^a - \theta \in \mathbb{F}_q\}$$

that are even when viewed a subset of $\mathbb{Z}$ is exactly $\frac{1}{2}(q-1)$.

When q is a power of 2 it is not clear if there is an exact formula for the number of even elements of $A(q, \theta)$.

Corollary 1.5 *If q is an odd prime power and θ is a generator of $\mathbb{F}_{q^2}^*$, then the graph $G_{q,\theta}$ is a C_4-free graph with $q^2 - 1$ vertices, and $\frac{1}{2}q^3 - q + \frac{1}{2}$ edges.*

A natural question is to ask if the graphs of Corollary 1.5 are extremal. Using the results of [1], we can show that this is not the case when q is a square prime power.

Proposition 1.6 *Let p be a prime and $t \geq 1$ be an integer. If $q = p^{2t}$, then*

$$\mathrm{ex}(q^2 - 1, C_4) \geq \frac{1}{2}q^3 + \frac{1}{2}q^{3/2} - \frac{3}{2}q + \frac{1}{2}q^{1/2} - 2.$$

When q is a power of 2, it is easier to determine the number of edges of $G_{q,\theta}$. In this case, $G_{q,\theta}$ has exactly q absolute points. Furthermore, there is a unique vertex x whose neighborhood is precisely the set of absolute points of $G_{q,\theta}$ (see Section 3). Let $H_{q,\theta}$ be the subgraph of $G_{q,\theta}$ obtained by removing this vertex x and its neighbors which are the vertices of degree $q - 1$. The graph $H_{q,\theta}$ implies $\mathrm{ex}(q^2 - q - 2, C_4) \geq \frac{1}{2}q^3 - q^2$, q a power of 2. This lower bound was first obtained by Abreu, Balbuena, and Labbate [1] using a different method.

Proposition 1.7 *Let q be a power of 2 and θ be a generator of $\mathbb{F}_{q^2}^*$. The graph $G_{q,\theta}$ has $\frac{1}{2}q^3 - q$ edges, and contains an induced subgraph $H_{q,\theta}$ that has $q^2 - q - 2$ vertices, and has $\frac{1}{2}q^3 - q^2$ edges.*

It is still an open problem to determine if the lower bound

$$\mathrm{ex}(q^2 - q - 2, C_4) \geq \frac{1}{2}q^3 - q^2, \ q \text{ a power of } 2$$

is best possible. It has been conjectured [1] that it is indeed optimal. Another result that we will need to prove Theorem 1.2 is that $G_{q,\theta}$ has diameter 3. We will prove something stronger. Given a graph F, we say that a graph G is *F-saturated* if G is F-free and adding any edge to G creates a copy of F.

Theorem 1.8 *If q is a prime power and θ is a generator of $\mathbb{F}_{q^2}^*$, then the graph $G_{q,\theta}$ is C_4-saturated. When q is a power of 2 and $q > 4$, the graph $H_{q,\theta}$ is C_4-saturated.*

A recent result of Firke, Kosek, Nash, and Williford [10] is that

$$\mathrm{ex}(q^2 + q, C_4) \leq \frac{1}{2}q(q+1)^2 - q$$

for even q. By deleting a vertex of degree q from ER_q, we find

$$\mathrm{ex}(q^2 + q, C_4) = \frac{1}{2}q(q+1)^2 - q$$

whenever q is a power of 2. It is also announced in [10] that when q is a power of 2, the C_4-free graphs with q^2+q vertices and $\frac{1}{2}q(q+1)^2-q$ edges must be those obtained by deleting a vertex of degree q from ER_q. This leads to the following question: under what conditions is a subgraph of ER_q extremal? One can use Theorem 1.8 and Proposition 1.6 to deduce that there are n-vertex subgraphs of ER_q that are C_4-saturated but have less than $\mathrm{ex}(n, C_4)$ edges. This shows that C_4-saturation is not enough to force a subgraph of ER_q to be extremal.

The rest of this paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we prove Theorem 1.4, Corollary 1.5, and Proposition 1.6. In Section 3 we prove Proposition 1.7 and in Section 4, we prove Theorem 1.8. Our main theorem, Theorem 1.2, is proved in Section 5. Using Theorem 1.2 we prove Theorem 1.3 in Section 6.

2 Proof of Theorem 1.4, Corollary 1.5, and Proposition 1.6

In this section q is an odd prime power and θ is a generator of $\mathbb{F}_{q^2}^*$.

Let $\chi : \mathbb{F}_{q^2}^* \to \{\pm 1\}$ be the quadratic character on $\mathbb{F}_{q^2}$ and $\chi_q : \mathbb{F}_q^* \to \{\pm 1\}$ be the quadratic character on $\mathbb{F}_q$. Let $N : \mathbb{F}_{q^2} \to \mathbb{F}_q$ be the norm map given by $N(\alpha) = \alpha^{q+1}$ for $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_{q^2}$. The first lemma is known (see [16]), but a proof is included for completeness.

Lemma 2.1 *If $\beta \in \mathbb{F}_{q^2}^*$, then $\chi(\beta) = \chi_q(N(\beta))$.*

Proof. Let $\beta \in \mathbb{F}_{q^2}^*$. We can write $\beta = \theta^k$ for some $1 \le k \le q^2-1$ and so

$$\chi(\beta) = \chi(\theta^k) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } k \text{ is even,} \\ -1 & \text{if } k \text{ is odd.} \end{cases}$$

The element $\gamma := \theta^{q+1}$ is a generator for $\mathbb{F}_q^*$ since $\mathbb{F}_q^* = \{\theta^{i(q+1)} : 1 \le i \le q-1\}$. As q is odd, we get

$$\chi_q(\gamma^k) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } k \text{ is even,} \\ -1 & \text{if } k \text{ is odd.} \end{cases}$$

Thus for any positive integer k,

$$\chi(\theta^k) = \chi_q(\gamma^k) = \chi_q(\theta^{k(q+1)}) = \chi_q(N(\theta^k)).$$

Since $\beta = \theta^k$, we have $\chi(\beta) = \chi_q(N(\beta))$ as desired. ∎

Lemma 2.2 *Exactly $\frac{1}{2}(q-1)$ of the elements in the set*

$$\{\theta + \theta^{i(q+1)} : 1 \le i \le q-1\}$$

are squares in $\mathbb{F}_{q^2}$.

Proof. Let $f(x) = x^2 + (\theta^q + \theta)x + \theta^{q+1}$. Observe that $(\theta^q + \theta)^q = \theta + \theta^q$. This shows that the Frobenius map $x \mapsto x^q$ fixes $\theta^q + \theta$ hence $\theta^q + \theta \in \mathbb{F}_q$. Since

$$\mathbb{F}_q \backslash \{0\} = \{\theta^{q+1}, \theta^{2(q+1)}, \ldots, \theta^{(q-1)(q+1)}\},$$

we also have $\theta^{q+1} \in \mathbb{F}_q$. The coefficients of $f(x)$ belong to $\mathbb{F}_q$ so that $f(x) \in \mathbb{F}_q[x]$. Using Lemma 2.1,

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{x \in \mathbb{F}_q} \chi(\theta + x) &= \sum_{x \in \mathbb{F}_q} \chi_q(N(\theta + x)) = \sum_{x \in \mathbb{F}_q} \chi_q((\theta + x)^{1+q}) \\
&= \sum_{x \in \mathbb{F}_q} ((\theta + x)(\theta^q + x^q)) = \sum_{x \in \mathbb{F}_q} ((\theta + x)(\theta^q + x)) \\
&= \sum_{x \in \mathbb{F}_q} \chi_q(f(x)) = -\chi_q(1) = -1.
\end{aligned}$$

Here we have used that $(\theta^q + \theta)^2 - 4\theta^{q+1} \neq 0$ as well as a known result on sums involving the quadratic character on $\mathbb{F}_q$ (Theorem 5.48, page 230 [16]). Indeed if $(\theta^q + \theta)^2 = 4\theta^{q+1}$, then

$$\theta^{2q} + 2\theta^{q+1} + \theta^2 = 4\theta^{q+1}$$

so $\theta^{2q} - 2\theta^{q+1} + \theta^2 = 0$. Factoring gives $(\theta^q - \theta)^2 = 0$ which implies $\theta^q = \theta$ so $\theta \in \mathbb{F}_q$, a contradiction. It follows from $\sum_{x \in \mathbb{F}_q} \chi(\theta + x) = -1$ that

$$|\{x \in \mathbb{F}_q : \chi(\theta + x) = 1\}| = \frac{1}{2}(q - 1).$$

■

Proof of Theorem 1.4. Let $a_q = 1$ and for $1 \leq i \leq q - 1$, let

$$\theta^{a_i} = \theta + \theta^{i(q+1)}.$$

Let $A = \{a_1, \ldots, a_q\}$ and view $A \subset \mathbb{Z}_{q^2-1}$. The set A is the Bose-Chowla Sidon set $A(q, \theta)$. By Lemma 2.2, exactly $\frac{1}{2}(q-1)$ of the a_i's with $1 \leq i \leq q-1$ are even since the nonzero squares in $\mathbb{F}_{q^2}$ are of the form θ^{2b} for some $b \in \mathbb{Z}_{q^2-1}$. ■

Proof of Corollary 1.5. The graphs $G_{q,\theta}$ are known to be C_4-free. It is shown in [21] that if $A(q, \theta)$ has t even elements, then the number of absolute points in $G_{q,\theta}$ is $2t$. Since q is odd, we have by Theorem 1.4 that $t = \frac{1}{2}(q-1)$. The number of vertices of $G_{q,\theta}$ is $q^2 - 1$. The number of edges of $G_{q,\theta}$ is

$$e(G_{q,\theta}) = \frac{1}{2}(q(q^2 - 1 - 2t) + (q-1)(2t)) = \frac{1}{2}q^3 - \frac{1}{2}q - t = \frac{1}{2}q^3 - q + \frac{1}{2}.$$

■

Proof of Proposition 1.6. Let p be a prime and $t \in \mathbb{N}$. Let $q = p^{2t}$. Abreu et al. [1] proved that $ER_{q^{1/2}}$ is a subgraph of ER_q. Let H be a copy of $ER_{q^{1/2}}$ in ER_q. By Brooks' Theorem,

$$\alpha(H) \geq \frac{n(H)}{\Delta(H)} = \frac{q + q^{1/2} + 1}{q^{1/2} + 1} = q^{1/2} + \frac{1}{q^{1/2} + 1}.$$

Choose an independent set $I \subset V(H)$ with $|I| = q^{1/2} - 1$ and let $S = V(H)\backslash I$. Then $|S| = q + 2$, $e(S) = \frac{1}{2}q^{1/2}(q^{1/2}+1)^2$, and so

$$\begin{aligned} e(ER_q\backslash S) &\geq \frac{1}{2}q(q+1)^2 - (q+2)(q+1) + \frac{1}{2}q^{1/2}(q^{1/2}+1)^2 \\ &= \frac{1}{2}q^3 + \frac{1}{2}q^{3/2} - \frac{3}{2}q + \frac{1}{2}q^{1/2} - 2. \end{aligned}$$

This shows that for any square prime power q,

$$\mathrm{ex}(q^2-1, C_4) \geq \frac{1}{2}q^3 + \frac{1}{2}q^{3/2} - \frac{3}{2}q + \frac{1}{2}q^{1/2} - 2.$$

■

3 Proof of Proposition 1.7

In this section q is a power of 2, θ is a generator of $\mathbb{F}_{q^2}^*$, and $A = A(q,\theta)$ is a Bose-Chowla Sidon set.

Lemma 3.1 *If $q = 2^\alpha$ and $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}$, then there is an $x \in \mathbb{Z}_{q^2-1}$ such that*

$$x + y \in A$$

for all $y \in \mathbb{Z}_{q^2-1}$ which satisfy $y + y \in A$.

Proof. Suppose $y + y \in A$. Then $2y \equiv a (\mathrm{mod}\ 2^{2\alpha} - 1)$ for some $a \in A$ which implies $y \equiv 2^{2\alpha-1}a (\mathrm{mod}\ 2^{2\alpha} - 1)$. If $A = \{a_1, \ldots, a_q\}$, then the absolute points are

$$2^{2\alpha-1}a_1, 2^{2\alpha-1}a_2, \ldots, 2^{2\alpha-1}a_q.$$

A vertex x is adjacent to each of these vertices if

$$x + 2^{2\alpha-1} \cdot A = A \tag{3}$$

where

$$k \cdot A := \{ka : a \in A\}.$$

To show that there is such an x, we will use the following result of Lindström [17].

Theorem 3.2 (Lindström) *Let p be a prime, $q = p^m$, $m \in \mathbb{N}$, and θ be a generator of $\mathbb{F}_{q^2}^*$. Let $B(q,\theta) = \{b \in \mathbb{Z}_{q^2-1} : \theta^{bq} + \theta^q = 1\}$. If $c \in \mathbb{Z}_{q^2-1}$ and $\theta^c + \theta = \theta^q$, then*

$$A(q,\theta) - c \equiv B(q,\theta) (\mathrm{mod}\ q^2 - 1).$$

Furthermore, $p \cdot B(q,\theta) \equiv B(q,\theta) (\mathrm{mod}\ q^2 - 1)$.

We apply Theorem 3.2 with $p = 2$ and $q = 2^\alpha$ to get

$$2^{2\alpha-1} \cdot A - 2^{2\alpha-1}c \equiv 2^{2\alpha-1} \cdot B(q,\theta) \equiv B(q,\theta) \equiv A - c (\text{mod } q^2 - 1).$$

If we take $x = c - 2^{2\alpha-1}c$, then (3) holds and we are done. ∎

Proof of Proposition 1.7. Consider $G_{q,\theta}$ where $q = 2^\alpha$, $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}$. Let $H_{q,\theta}$ be the subgraph of G obtained by removing the vertex x of Lemma 3.1 and all of the neighbors of x. Then $H_{q,\theta}$ has $q^2 - 1 - (q+1) = q^2 - q - 2$ vertices, and

$$e(H_{q,\theta}) = \frac{1}{2}((q^2-1)q - q) - q - q(q-2) = \frac{1}{2}q^3 - q^2.$$

∎

4 Proof of Theorem 1.8

In this section q is a prime power, θ is a generator of $\mathbb{F}_{q^2}^*$, and $A = A(q,\theta)$ is a Bose-Chowla Sidon set.

In order to prove Theorem 1.8, we need some additional results on Bose-Chowla Sidon sets. The first lemma is known.

Lemma 4.1 *If $1 \le j \le q-1$, then $j(q+1) \notin A$.*

Proof. If $j(q+1) \in A$, then for some $b \in \mathbb{F}_q$ we have $\theta^{j(q+1)} = \theta + b$. Since $\theta^{j(q+1)} \in \mathbb{F}_q$, we have $\theta = \theta^{j(q+1)} - b \in \mathbb{F}_q$ which is a contradiction. ∎

Lemma 4.2 *For any $x \in \mathbb{Z}_{q^2-1} \backslash A$, there are at least $q-1$ ordered triples $(a,b,c) \in A^3$ with $a - b + c = x$, $a \neq b$, and $b \neq c$.*

Proof. We consider two cases.
Case 1: $x = j(q+1)$ with $1 \le j \le q-1$.

Let $a \in A$. Consider $x - a$. By Lemma 4.1, a is not of the form $i(q+1)$ for any $1 \le i \le q-1$. This implies that $x - a$ is also not of the form $i(q+1)$ with $1 \le i \le q-1$. It is known (see [21]) that

$$A - A = \mathbb{Z}_{q^2-1} \backslash \{q+1, 2(q+1), \ldots, (q-2)(q+1)\} \tag{4}$$

therefore, there is a pair $b, c \in A$ with $c - b = x - a$. Since $x - a \neq 0$, the pair b, c is unique because A is a Sidon set. We can rewrite this equation as $a - b + c = x$. If $a = b$ or $c = b$, then $x \in A$ which is impossible by Lemma 4.1. There are q choices for a. Also, there is at most one $a \in A$ for which $a - b + a = x$. To see this, suppose we also have $a' - b + a' = x$ for some $a' \in A$. Then $a + a = a' + a'$ and by the Sidon property, $a = a'$. This shows that there is at most one triple (a,b,c) for which $a = c$, $a - b + c = x$, and $a \neq b$, $c \neq b$. We conclude that in this case, there are at least $q-1$ desired triples.
Case 2: $x \neq j(q+1)$ for any $1 \le j \le q-1$.

Suppose $A = \{a_1, \ldots, a_q\}$ and consider the q distinct elements

$$x - a_1, x - a_2, \ldots, x - a_q.$$

We will show that at most one of these elements is of the form $j(q+1)$ with $1 \leq j \leq q-1$. Suppose that $x - a_i = j(q+1)$ and $x - a_{i'} = j'(q+1)$ where $i \neq i'$ and $1 \leq j \neq j' \leq q-1$. Then

$$a_i - a_{i'} = (x - j(q+1)) - (x - j'(q+1)) = (j' - j)(q+1)$$

which contradicts (4). By relabeling if necessary, we may assume that

$$x - a_i \notin \{q+1, 2(q+1), \ldots, (q-2)(q+1)\}$$

for $1 \leq i \leq q-1$. Let $i \in \{1, 2, \ldots, q-1\}$ and consider $x - a_i$. There is a unique pair $b_i, c_i \in A$ with $x - a_i = c_i - b_i$. We can rewrite this equation as $x = a_i - b_i + c_i$. If $a_i \neq b_i$ and $b_i \neq c_i$, then we are done. Assume that $a_i = b_i$ or $b_i = c_i$. Then $x \in A$, which contradicts our hypothesis. Finally note that each of the triples $(a_1, b_1, c_1), \ldots, (a_{q-1}, b_{q-1}, c_{q-1})$ are all distinct since $a_1, \ldots, a_{q-1}$ are all distinct. ∎

Proof of Theorem 1.8. Using Lemma 4.2, we can now prove that $G_{q,\theta}$ is C_4-saturated. Let $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}_{q^2-1}$ and suppose that x and y are not adjacent in $G_{q,\theta}$ so $x + y \notin A$. By Lemma 4.2, there is a triple $a, b, c \in A$ with $x + y = a - b + c$ where $a \neq b$ and $b \neq c$. Let $z = a - x$ and $t = c - y$. By construction, x is adjacent to z and y is adjacent to t. Since $z + t = x + y - a - c = b$, we also have that z is adjacent to t. In order to conclude that $xzty$ is a path of length 3, we must argue that x, z, t, and y are all distinct.

If $z = x$, then $a = 0$ but $0 \notin A$. Therefore, $z \neq x$ and similarly $t \neq y$. Now x and y are not adjacent so that $z \neq y$, and $t \neq x$. The last possibility is if $z = t$. If this occurs, then $z + z = z + t = b$ and so z is an absolute point. In the case when q is odd, we know that any vertex is adjacent to at most two absolute points (see [21]). In the case when q is even, there is only one vertex that is adjacent to more than one absolute point by Lemma 3.1. Therefore there are at most 2 triples that yield z an absolute point. By Lemma 4.2, there are at least $q-3$ triples that can be chosen so that z is not an absolute point.

The conclusion is that whenever $x + y \notin A$, there are at least $q - 3$ paths of length 3 between x and y and so adding the edge xy gives a 4-cycle. This completes the proof of Theorem 1.8 in the case of $G_{q,\theta}$.

We will use the following remark, that we have proved something stronger than C_4 saturation, in the proof of the case of $H_{q,\theta}$.

Remark 4.3 *If q is any prime power and H is a graph obtained by adding an edge to $G_{q,\theta}$, then H contains at least $q - 3$ copies of C_4.*

It remains only to show that $H_{q,\theta}$ is C_4 saturated. Let $uv \notin E(H_{q,\theta})$ and let $H = H_{q,\theta} \cup \{uv\}$ and $G = G_{q,\theta} \cup \{uv\}$. By Remark 4.3, G contains at least $q-3$ copies of C_4, all of which have uv as an edge. Since $H_{q,\theta}$ is obtained by removing the vertex x and the set of absolute points from $G_{q,\theta}$, it suffices to show that this process destroys less than $q - 3$ copies of C_4.

If removing x and its neighborhood destroys a copy of C_4 that has uv as an edge, then that C_4 must have as a vertex either x or one of its neighbors. Since the entire neighborhood of x is removed, neither u nor v is adjacent to x, and so the C_4 cannot have x as a vertex. Therefore the C_4 has y as a vertex for some absolute point y. However, note that because $G_{q,\theta}$ is C_4-free and because x is adjacent to every absolute point, any vertex not equal to x can have at most one neighbor that is an absolute point. In particular, u and v are each connected to at most one absolute point, meaning that removing x and its neighborhood can destroy at most $2 < q-3$ copies of C_4. ∎

5 Proof of Theorem 1.2

In this section q is a power of a prime, θ is a generator of $\mathbb{F}_{q^2}^*$, and $A = A(q,\theta)$ is a Bose-Chowla Sidon.

Let

$$H = \{0, q+1, 2(q+1), \ldots, (q-2)(q+1)\}$$

be the subgroup of $\mathbb{Z}_{q^2-1}$ generated by $\{q+1\}$. With this notation, we can rewrite (4) as

$$A - A = (\mathbb{Z}_{q^2-1} \backslash H) \cup \{0\}. \tag{5}$$

By Lemma 4.1,

$$A \cap H = \emptyset. \tag{6}$$

Lemma 5.1 *If x and y are distinct vertices of $G_{q,\theta}$, then the following hold.*
(i) $d(x,y) = 1$ if and only if $x + y \in A$.
(ii) $d(x,y) = 2$ if and only if $x + y \notin A$ and $x - y \notin H$.
(iii) $d(x,y) = 3$ if and only if $x + y \notin A$ and $x - y \in H$.

Proof. The proof of (i) is the definition of adjacency in $G_{q,\theta}$.

Suppose $d(x,y) = 2$. Certainly $x + y \notin A$ otherwise x and y are adjacent. Let z be a common neighbor of x and y. There are elements $a, b \in A$ such that $x + z = a$ and $z + y = b$. Thus $x - y = a - b$ and $a \neq b$ since $x \neq y$. By (5),

$$x - y \in (A - A) \backslash \{0\} = \mathbb{Z}_{q^2-1} \backslash \{q+1, 2(q+1), \ldots, (q-2)(q+1)\}.$$

Convserely, suppose $x + y \notin A$ and $x - y \notin H$. By (5), we can write $x - y = a - b$ for some $a, b \in A$ with $a \neq b$. Let $z = a - x$. Then $x + z \in A$ and $z + y \in A$ so that z is a common neighbor of x and y. Furthermore, $z \neq x$ and $z \neq y$ since x and y are not adjacent.

The case when $d(x,y) = 3$ now follows from (ii), and the fact that $G_{q,\theta}$ has diameter at most 3 which is a consequence of Theorem 1.8. ∎

Lemma 5.2 *If x and y are vertices in H, then $d(x,y) \in \{0, 3\}$.*

Proof. If x and y are in H, then $x + y \in H$ and $x - y \in H$. By (6), $x + y \notin A$ and we are done by Lemma 5.1. ∎

Given $1 \leq i \leq q$, let $H + i = \{h + i : h \in H\}$.

Lemma 5.3 *Let $1 \leq i \leq q$. If x and y are distinct vertices in $H + i$, then x and y are not joined by a path of length two.*

Proof. Let $x, y \in H + i$, say $x = h + i$ and $y = h' + i$ where $h, h' \in H$. Then $x - y = h - h' \in H \backslash \{0\}$. In the proof of Lemma 5.1, it is shown that if two distinct vertices z and t are joined by a path of length two, then $z - t \in (A - A)\backslash\{0\}$. Since $x - y \in H\backslash\{0\}$, we have by (5) that $x - y \notin A - A$. ∎

Proof of Theorem 1.2. We are going to show that $G_{q,\theta}$ is a subgraph of ER_q by adding a a set of $q + 2$ vertices and some edges to $G_{q,\theta}$ to obtain a graph that is C_4-free, has q^2+q+1 vertices, and has $\frac{1}{2}q(q+1)^2$ edges. We will then apply the following theorem of Füredi [13].

Theorem 5.4 (Füredi) *Let $q \geq 15$ be a prime power. If G is a C_4-free graph with $q^2 + q + 1$ vertices and $\frac{1}{2}q(q + 1)^2$ edges, then G is isomorphic to ER_q.*

It will be convenient to add vertices and edges to $G_{q,\theta}$ in steps. At each step we will check that the new graph is C_4-free.
Step 1: Constructing G_1.

Add vertices $z_0, z_1, \ldots, z_q$ to $G_{q,\theta}$. For $0 \leq i \leq q$, make z_i adjacent to every vertex in the coset $H + i$. Let this graph be G_1. The graph G_1 has $q^2 - 1 + q + 1 = q^2 + q$ vertices, and has $e(G_{q,\theta}) + (q + 1)(q - 1) = e(G_{q,\theta}) + q^2 - 1$ edges. The cosets $H, H + 1, \ldots, H + q$ are all pairwise disjoint so that there is no C_4 that contains two vertices from the set $\{z_0, z_1, \ldots, z_q\}$. Suppose that there is a C_4 of the form $z_i(h+i)t(h'+i)$ for some $0 \leq i \leq q$, $t \in V(G_{q,\theta})$, and $h, h' \in H$. This implies $h + i$ and $h' + i$ are joined by a path of length two in $G_{q,\theta}$. As in the proof of Lemma 5.1(ii), we must have

$$(h + i) - (h' + i) \in (A - A)\backslash\{0\} = \mathbb{Z}_{q^2-1}\backslash\{q + 1, 2(q + 1), \ldots, (q - 2)(q + 1)\}.$$

This is a contradiction as $h-h' \in H$ and $h \neq h'$ since $h+i$ and $h'+i$ are distinct vertices.
Step 2: Constructing G_2.

Add a vertex y to G_1 and make y adjacent to $z_0, z_1, \ldots, z_q$. Call this graph G_2. The graph G_2 has $q^2 + q + 1$ vertices and $e(G_{q,\theta}) + q^2 + q$ edges. Any C_4 in G_2 must be of the form yz_itz_j for some $t \in V(G_{q,\theta})$ and $0 \leq i \neq j \leq q$. This is impossible however as the cosets $H + i$ and $H + j$ are disjoint.
Step 3: Constructing G_3.

For $1 \leq j \leq \lfloor \frac{q}{2} \rfloor$, make z_j adjacent to z_{q+1-j}. Call this graph G_3. The number of vertices of G_3 is $q^2 + q + 1$, and the number of edges of G_3 is

$$e(G_3) = \begin{cases} e(G_{q,\theta}) + q^2 + q + \frac{q-1}{2} & \text{if } q \text{ is odd,} \\ e(G_{q,\theta}) + q^2 + q + \frac{q}{2} & \text{if } q \text{ is even.} \end{cases}$$

A potential C_4 in G_3 must use one of the edges z_jz_{q+1-j}. Since z_0 is not adjacent to any of $z_1, \ldots, z_q$ and y is the unique common neighbor of z_j and z_{q+1-j}, there is no C_4 that contains z_j, z_{q+1-j} and y. The only remaining possibility is a C_4 of the form $z_jz_{q+1-j}(h+q+1-j)(h'+j)$ where $h, h' \in H$. This is impossible as $(h+q+1-j)+(h'+j) = h + h' + q + 1 \in H$ and $A \cap H = \emptyset$ by (6).

When q is odd, $e(G_{q,\theta}) = \frac{1}{2}q^3 - q + \frac{1}{2}$ by Corollary 1.5. This gives

$$e(G_3) = e(G_{q,\theta}) + q^2 + q + \frac{q-1}{2} = \frac{1}{2}q(q+1)^2.$$

When q is even, $e(G_{q,\theta}) = \frac{1}{2}q^3 - q$ by Proposition 1.7 and so $e(G_3) = \frac{1}{2}q(q+1)^2$. In either case, the graph G_3 is C_4-free with q^2+q+1 vertices and $\frac{1}{2}q(q+1)^2$ edges. By Theorem 5.4, G_3 is isomorphic to ER_q. ∎

6 Proof of Theorem 1.3

In this section $q \geq 15$ is a prime power, θ is a generator of $\mathbb{F}_{q^2}^*$, and $A = A(q,\theta)$ is a Bose-Chowla Sidon set. Recall that Lemma 4.1 states that

$$A \cap \{0, q+1, 2(q+1), \ldots, (q-2)(q+1)\} = \emptyset, \tag{7}$$

and (4) states that

$$(A-A) \cap \{q+1, 2(q+1), \ldots, (q-2)(q+1)\}. \tag{8}$$

It follows that we can write

$$a_1 = 1 + m_1(q+1), a_2 = 2 + m_2(q+1), \ldots, a_q = q + m_q(q+1)$$

where $\{a_1, \ldots, a_q\} = A$ and $m_i \in \{0, 1, \ldots, q\}$ for each i. Let $1 \leq i < j < k \leq q$ be distinct integers with $i + j - k = 0$. Let s and t be integers with $0 \leq s \neq t \leq q-2$ and

$$s + t \equiv -(m_i + m_j - m_k) (\text{mod } q-1).$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} a_i + a_j - a_k &\equiv i + j - k + (m_i + m_j - m_k)(q+1) (\text{mod } q^2-1) \\ &\equiv -(s+t)(q+1) (\text{mod } q^2-1). \end{aligned}$$

This implies

$$a_i + a_j + (s+t)(q+1) \in A. \tag{9}$$

Define the following six vertices in $G_{q,\theta}$:

$$\begin{array}{lll} u_1 = a_i + s(q+1), & v_1 = a_i + t(q+1), & w_1 = (q-1-s)(q+1) \\ u_2 = a_j + s(q+1), & v_2 = a_j + t(q+1), & w_2 = (q-1-t)(q+1). \end{array}$$

Once can check that all of these vertices are distinct using the fact that $i \neq j$, $0 \leq s \neq t \leq q-2$, and (7) and (8) both hold. By (9), u_1 is adjacent to v_2 and u_2 is adjacent to v_1. Since $u_1 + w_1$, $u_2 + w_2$, $v_1 + w_1$, and $v_2 + w_2$ are all in A, we have that $u_1 w_1 u_2 v_1 w_2 v_2$ is a 6-cycle in $G_{q,\theta}$.

Now we use the vertices $z_0, z_1, \ldots, z_q$, and y that are added to $G_{q,\theta}$ to obtain ER_q (see *Steps* 1-3 in the proof of Theorem 1.2). In ER_q, vertex z_0 is adjacent to both w_1 and w_2, vertex z_i is adjacent to both u_1 and v_1, and vertex z_j is adjacent to both u_2 and v_2. The final vertex that we need is y which is adjacent to z_0, z_i, and z_j. This shows that the set of vertices

$$\{u_1, u_2, v_1, v_2, w_1, w_2, z_0, z_i, z_j, y\}$$

contain a Petersen graph in ER_q.

7 Concluding Remarks

In [19], Mubayi and Williford investigated the independence number of ER_q. An open problem mentioned in [19] is to construct an independent set I in ER_q for any q that is not an even power of 2 with $|I| = q^{3/2} + O(q)$, or show that no such set exists. Our main result, Theorem 1.2 shows that $G_{q,\theta}$ is an induced subgraph of ER_q. These two graphs differ by only $q+2$ vertices and so the independence number of $G_{q,\theta}$ differs from the independence number of ER_q by at most $q+2$. Using the definition of adjacency, we find that an independent set I in $G_{q,\theta}$ is a set $I \subset \mathbb{Z}_{q^2-1}$ such that $x+y \notin A(q,\theta)$ for all $x \neq y$, $x, y \in I$; that is

$$\alpha(G_{q,\theta}) = \max_{I \subset \mathbb{Z}_{q^2-1}} \{|I| : ((I+I)\backslash(2 \cdot I)) \cap A(q,\theta) = \emptyset\}$$

By computing the second eigenvalue of ER_q, one can show that $\alpha(ER_q) \leq q^{3/2} + O(q)$. Improvements in the error term have been made (see [19, 14]) but all of these improvements involve eigenvalues in some way. It was pointed out to the authors by Javier Cilleruelo [6] that one can use the main result of [5] to show that

$$\alpha(G_{q,\theta}) \leq q^{3/2} + O(q). \tag{10}$$

One does not need to compute any of the eigenvalues of $G_{q,\theta}$ to obtain (10) in this manner. This gives a new proof of the estimate $\alpha(ER_q) \leq q^{3/2} + O(q)$ that does not use eigenvalues.

Determining the maximum size of an independent set in ER_q is equivalent to the following question, which may be of independent interest:

Problem 7.1 *What is the maximum number of pairwise non-orthogonal* 1*-dimensional subspaces of* $\mathbb{F}_q^3$*?*

Another open problem from [19] is to find an induced subgraph of ER_q, q a power of 2, that is triangle free and has at least $\frac{q^2}{2} + O(q^{3/2})$ vertices. Again, since this problem concerns induced subgraphs, finding such a subgraph in $G_{q,\theta}$ would suffice to solve this problem in ER_q. Thus, it is of interest to find the largest set $J \subset \mathbb{Z}_{q^2-1}$ such that for any $x, y, z \in J$ with x, y, z all distinct, at least one of the sums $x+y$, $x+z$, or $y+z$ is not contained in $A(q,\theta)$.

References

[1] M. Abreu, C. Balbuena, D. Labbate, Adjacency matrices of polarity graphs and other C_4-free graphs of large size, Des. Codes Cryptogr. (2010), 55, 221-233.

[2] R. C. Bose, S. Chowla, Theorems in the additive theory of numbers, Comment. Math. Helv. **37** (1962), 141-147.

[3] W. G. Brown, On graphs that do not contain a Thomsen graph, Canada Math. Bull. **9** (1966), 281-289.

[4] F. R. K. Chung, Diameters and Eigenvalues, J. American Mathematical Society, **2**, 187-196 (1989).

[5] J. Cilleruelo, Combinatorial problems in finite fields and Sidon sets, Combinatorica **32** 5 (2012), 497-511.

[6] J. Cilleruelo, Personal Communication, 2014.

[7] C. R. J. Clapham, A. Flockhart, J. Sheehan, Graphs without four-cycles, J. Graph Theory 13 (1989), no. 1, 29-47.

[8] H. S. M. Coxeter, My graph, Proc. London Math. Soc. (3) 46 (1983), no. 1, 117-136.

[9] P. Erdős, A. Rényi, V. T. Sós, On a problem of graph theory, Studia Sci. Math. Hungar. 1 (1966), 215-235.

[10] F. Firke, P. Kosek, E. Nash, J. Williford, Extremal graphs without 4-cycles, J. Combinatorial Theory, Series B **103**, 327-336 (2013).

[11] Z. Füredi, Graphs without quadrilaterals, J. Combinatorial Theory, Series B **34**, 187-190 (1983).

[12] Z. Füredi, Quadrilateral-free graphs with maximum number of edges, Proceedings of the Japan Workshop on Graph Theory and Combinatorics, Keio University, Yokohama, Japan 1994, 13-22.

[13] Z. Füredi, On the number of edges of quadrilateral-free graphs, J. Combinatorial Theory, Series B **68**, 1-6 (1996).

[14] C. Godsil, M. W. Newman, Eigenvalue bounds for independent sets, J. Combinatorial Theory, Series B, **98** 721-734 (2008).

[15] T. Kővári, V. T. Sós, P. Turán, On a problem of Zarankiewicz, Colloq. Math. **3** (1954), p. 50-57.

[16] R. Lidl, H. Niederreiter, Finite Fields, Cambridge University Press, 1997.

[17] B. Lindström, A translate of Bose-Chowla B_2-sets, Studia Scientiarum Mathematicarum Hungarica 36 (2000), 331-333.

[18] B. Lindström, Well distribution of Sidon sets in residue classes, Journal of Number Theory 69 (2), 197-200 (1998).

[19] D. Mubayi, J. Williford, On the independence number of the Erdős-Rényi and Projective Norm Graphs and a related hypergraph, J. Graph Theory 56 (2007), no. 2, 113-127.

[20] I. Reiman, Über ein Problem von K. Zarankiewicz, (German) Acta. Math. Acad. Sci. Hungar. **9** (1958), 269-273.

[21] M. Tait, C. Timmons, Sidon sets and graphs without 4-cycles, to appear in J. Combinatorics.

[22] L. A. Vinh, Graphs generated by Sidon sets and algebraic equations over finite fields, J. Combinatorial Theory, Series B **103**, 651-657 (2013).